1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT SEATTLE	
9		
10	GS HOLISTIC, LLC,	CASE NO. C23-5227JLR
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER
12	V.	
13	BARRETT & MITCHELL ENTERPRISES, INC., et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15	Before the court is Plaintiff GS Holistic, LLC's ("GS Holistic") motion for a	
16		
17	60-day extension of time to perfect service on Defendants Timothy Mitchell and	
18	Rozalynn Mitchell (collectively, "Defendants"). (Mot. (Dkt. # 8).) The court GRANTS	
19	GS Holistic's motion for an extension of time. <sup>1</sup>	
20		
21	Although the motion is noted for consideration on June 23, 2023 ( <i>see id.</i> ), the court sees no reason to delay issuing a decision on the motion. <i>See</i> Fed. R. Civ. P. 1 (authorizing the court	
22	to construe the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure "to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding").	

1 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a 2 summons and a copy of the complaint and sets forth the specific requirements for doing 3 so. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which service must be effectuated, states in relevant part: 4 5 If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss 6 the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. 7 8 Id. 9 GS Holistic filed this action on March 16, 2023. (Compl. (Dkt. # 1).) As a result, 10 Rule 4(m)'s 90-day deadline for effectuating service of process expired on Wednesday, June 14, 2023. Fed. R. Civ. P 4(m). GS Holistic represents that it was able to serve 11 Defendant Barrett & Mitchell Enterprises, Inc., on May 24, 2023. (Mot. ¶ 5.) It has not, 12 however, been able to serve Mr. Mitchell and Ms. Mitchell. (Id. ¶¶ 2-4, 6.) GS Holistic 13 14 now asks the court to extend the Rule 4(m) deadline by 60 days to allow it to perfect 15 service on Defendants "either personally or by publication." (*Id.* ¶ 7.) 16 The court finds that GS Holistic has not demonstrated good cause for its failure to effectuate service before the Rule 4(m) deadline. Nevertheless, the court GRANTS GS 17 Holistic's motion for a 60-day extension of the deadline to perfect service (Dkt. #8).<sup>2</sup> 18 19 20 <sup>2</sup> This order does not grant GS Holistic leave to serve Defendants by publication. If GS Holistic seeks to serve Defendants by publication, it must file a motion for leave to do so. See 21 Pascua v. Heil, 108 P.3d 1253, 1257 (Wash. Ct. App. 2005) (explaining the standard for granting leave to serve a defendant by publication in Washington). 22

1 GS Holistic shall file proof of service on Defendants by no later than August 13, 2023. 2 Failure to do so may result in the dismissal without prejudice of GS Holistic's claims 3 against Defendants. Further extensions of the deadline to serve Defendants will not be granted absent exceptional circumstances.<sup>3</sup> 4 5 Dated this 15th day of June, 2023. 6 m R. Rli 7 JAMES L. ROBART 8 United States District Judge 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 <sup>3</sup> Under Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 10(e)(3), the law firm, mailing address, and telephone number of the attorney preparing the paper should be printed or typed at the bottom of each page of a motion or other filing. In addition, Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 10(e)(5) 19 requires line numbers in the left margin of each page of a motion or other filing. Here, the footer of GS Holistic's motion contains contact information for The Ticktin Law Firm, even though the 20 only attorney who has appeared in this action is Brett C. Harris of Virgo Law LLC. (See Mot. at 1; Dkt.) In addition, there are no line numbers on the pleading paper. (See Mot.) Accordingly, 21 the court ORDERS counsel for GS Holistic to carefully review the court's local rules and to

ensure compliance with those rules in all future filings. Failure to comply with the court's local

rules may result in sanctions.

22